IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Opposition No. 124,302 Appln. Serial No. 76/026,164

Mark: S.S. WHITE

05-28-2003

U.S. Patent & TMOfc/TM Mail Rcpt Dt. #22

* * * * * * * * * * * * *

* S.S. WHITE BURS, INC.,

Plaintiff/Opposer

-against-

S.S. WHITE TECHNOLOGIES INC.,

Defendant/Applicant

* * * * * * * * * * * * *

APPLICANT'S CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

May 23, 2003

LESSLER & LESSLER
Attorneys for Defendant/Applicant
S.S. White Technologies Inc.
540 Old Bridge Turnpike
South River, N.J. 08882
Telephone No. (732) 254-5155
Facsimile No. (732) 254-7630
e-mail: Lessler@Compuserve.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the TTAB - Commissioner for Trademarks, 2900 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202-3513, on May 23, 2003.

Arthur L. Lessler

Opposition No. 124,302 Appln. Serial No. 76/026164

Defendant/Applicant S.S. White Technologies Inc., in accordance with §2.127(a) of the Trademark Rules of Practice and Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, moves for summary judgment on Opposer's Section 2(d) opposition claims against application Serial Number 76/026,164 filed by Applicant. As good cause for the requested relief, Applicant believes that there are no genuine issues of material fact which preclude judgment as a matter of law on Opposer's opposition claims.

A memorandum of law and the Declaration of Arthur L. Lessler, filed concurrently herewith, support this motion. Applicant also concurrently files its Motion to Use Testimony from Another Proceeding. This motion, filed pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §2-122(f), pertains to certain exhibits attached to the Declaration of Arthur L. Lessler.

Respectfully submitted,

LESSLER & LESSLER
Attorneys for Defendant/Applicant
S.S. White Technologies Inc.
540 Old Bridge Turnpike
South River, N.J. 08882
Tel (732) 254-5155
Fax (732) 254-7630

Dated: May 23, 2003

Arthur L. Lessler

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 23rd day of May, 2003, I served the foregoing Applicant's Cross-Motion For Summary Judgment and the accompanying (i) Applicant's Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Opposer's Motion for Summary Judgment and In Support of Applicant's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment, (ii) Applicant's Cross-Motion to Use Testimony and Exhibits From Another Proceeding, (iii) Declaration of Arthur L. Lessler, and (iv) Exhibits identified in said Declaration, upon counsel for Plaintiff/Opposer, addressed to the persons listed below.

Arthur L. Lessler

Addressees:

Charles R. Mandly, Jr., Esq.
Joshua L. Smith. Esq.
Nathan E. Ferguson, Esq.
WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON
Attorneys for Plaintiff/Opposer
S.S. White Burs, Inc.
225 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1229

Tel (312) 201-2000 Mr. Mandly's Direct line (312) 201-2941 Fax (312) 201-2555

TTAB

LESSLER & LESSLER

Counsellors at Law

Arthur L. Lessler Craig S. Lessler

New Jersey & New York Bar

540 Old Bridge Turnpike South River, New Jersey 08882 Facsimile (732) 254-7630 Telephone (732) 254-5155

May 23, 2003

United States Patent and Trademark Office Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Box TTAB Commissioner For Trademarks 2900 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513 05-28-2003
U.S. Patent & TMOfc/TM Mail Ropt Dt. #22

Re: S.S. White Burs, Inc. v. S.S. White Technologies Inc.
Opposition No. 124,302 Appln. Serial No. 76/026,164

Gentlemen:

The following documents are enclosed:

- a. Applicant's Cross-Motion For Summary Judgment (Original + 2 copies).
- b. Applicant's Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Opposer's Motion for Summary Judgment and In Support of Applicant's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment (Original + 2 copies).
- c. Applicant's Cross-Motion to Use Testimony and Exhibits From Another Proceeding (Original + 2 copies).
- d. Declaration of Arthur L. Lessler as to evidence
 (Original + 2 copies).
- e. Evidence identified in said Declaration, comprising Volume 1 containing Exhibits A to E, and Volume 2 containing Exhibits F to M (Original only).

A brief on a motion is limited by 37 C.F.R. §2.127(a) to 25 pages in length. A trial brief is limited by 37 C.F.R. §2.128(b) to 55 pages in length. However, this summary judgment motion and cross-motion involve evidence which was fully developed in the related District Court action, so that

Letter to United States Patent and Trademark Office/Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Re: S.S. White Burs, Inc. v. S.S. White Technologies Inc.
Opposition No. 124,302 Appln. Serial No. 76/026,164
May 23, 2003

Applicant's brief is in effect a trial brief, since to properly respond to Opposer's motion and to properly support its crossmotion, Applicant is required to present the same material in essentially the same manner as it would present in a trial brief. For these reasons Applicant requests that the Board permit it to file the enclosed brief which is 53 pages in length.

Respectfully submitted,

LESSLER & LESSLER Attorneys for Applicant

Arthur L. Lessler

ALL:ca Enclosures

CC: Charles R. Mandly, Jr., Esq.
Joshua L. Smith, Esq.
Nathan E. Ferguson, Esq.
WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON
Attorneys for Opposer
S.S. White Burs, Inc.
225 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1229
(with enclosures)

Tel (312) 201-2000 Mr. Mandly's Direct line (312) 201-2941 Fax (312) 201-2555